



President: Bob Gelfand  
Vice President: Leonard Shaffer  
Secretary: Daniel Wiseman  
Treasurer: Glenn Bailey

WEBSITE (Minutes, Documents, etc.): [www.lanccoalition.org](http://www.lanccoalition.org)

WEB-BLOG (Notices, etc.): [lanccreports.blogspot.com](http://lanccreports.blogspot.com)

Send emails (questions, comments, etc.): [Info@lanccoalition.org](mailto:Info@lanccoalition.org)

**DRAFT MINUTES of December 1, 2012 MEETING**  
**Hollywood Constituent Center**  
**6501 Fountain Avenue**  
**Los Angeles 90028**

1. **CALLED TO ORDER** by LANCC President, Bob Gelfand  
INITIAL REMARKS and **PRESIDENT'S REPORT**.
  - a. **Reasons for Riordan not being here.** Former Mayor Richard has proposed a City Charter Amendment which would convert the City's 2 Pension Plans from a Defined Benefit (expensive) to a 401K-based (Defined Contribution) Plan. This would decrease and fix the City's future financial obligations to its employees while making the employees and their "Pension Advisor" responsible for the success (profit) and/or failure (loss) of the funds invested.

He was unable to gather enough signatures in time to file the ballot measure. His efforts were opposed by the short time available and the presence of Labor Activists who presented an opposing petition at many of Mr. Riordan's signature collection sites.

We received notice that Mr. Riordan and/or his representatives would not attend our meeting a few days before December 1<sup>st</sup>.
  - b. **PROPOSED ACTION ITEM** - Support BONC motion for DONE funding (see below)

- c. **PROPOSED ACTION ITEM** – Support BONC motion to restore NC funding to \$ 50,000 per year per NC (see below)
- d. **ACTION ITEM** – Support BONC motion for the City Council and Committees to provide 5 minute presentation time for “authorized” NC representatives ... amended to “... seat at the table...”. (see below)
- e. **EXPOSITION** of other recent events of importance
  - Recent activities related to the Factual-Based Stakeholder definition (CF #12-1671???)
  - Release of the Inspector General’s Report(s) is imminent
  - NCBA “RETREAT” was held at The Flame Restaurant, West L.A. on Saturday, Nov. 29<sup>th</sup>.

2. **COMPILATION of today’s PARTICIPANTS and GUESTS**

by (voluntarily) sign-up for minutes and notices on the sign-up sheets.

There were 35 NC ACTIVE STAKEHOLDERS (NCASHs) who “signed up” and

Special Guests: Grayce Liu, Interim General Manager of DONE  
 Joseph Hari  
 Carmen (“Nuch”) Trutanich, L.A. City Attorney  
 Jane Usher  
 Sandy Cooney  
 Teddy Davis, Mayor’s Press Secretary

3. The **AGENDA was reviewed, amended and passed** with the following modifications.

4. The **MINUTES of the MEETING of November 3, 2012** were distributed, reviewed, corrected and **approved**.

5. **LIGHTNING ROUND (PUBLIC COMMENTS)**

- a. **Dr. Stanley Moore** spoke of the activities at and requested support for the Southwest Museum
- b. **Sid Gold** questioned the use of the 12 Election Areas and/or the 7 Mayoral Regions by DONE.
- c. **Jack Humphreville** reminded us of the upcoming March 2013 City election
  - The “Odd-numbered” City Council Seats (1,3,5,7,9,11,13 and 6) will be contested.
  - He has been advocating a Charter Amendment requiring the City to function under a Balanced Budget and to eliminate the recurrent \$ 250 million annual, initial Budget Deficits.
  - He will be writing the NEGATIVE argument to the recently proposed ½ cent City Sales Tax increase (CF # 13-1100-S6)
- d. **Caroline Aguirre** spoke of Calif. AB109 and said that (so-called) “low level, non-violent offenders” are being released from prison without medications, medical follow-up or parole restrictions. She said that there have been several killings by these people already.

## 6. **SPECIAL GUEST: CITY ATTORNEY (CA) CARMEN (“NUCH”) TRUTANICH**

- a. CA has been fighting for NC efforts to present its concerns formally and directly to the Mayor and City Council (that is to “get a seat at the table”). Contact Assistant City Attorney Jane Usher.
- b. The CA participation in the City’s **NEIGHBORHOOD GANG ENFORCEMENT & NUISANCE ABATEMENT PROGAMS** continues. There are now 44 injunctions against gangs which limit the ability of gang members to congregate and plan criminal activity. Crime is down in LA, general, but not so much in neighborhood cities. There is no such thing as a “small” crime if it happens to you.

Mr. Trutanich invited us to call with our **SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD CONCERNS** to his office (Nuch’s Secy, Stephanie) **1-213-978-8344**. He went on to invite NC Stakeholder to make an appointment with him, using this number, and to bring group to the CAs office.

- c. The CA Department has been decreased from 750 to 490 lawyers over the last 3 years. Mr. Trutanich insists that his CA’s save the City from millions (as much as \$ 30 million per year) in adverse judgments and they have been doing that on a progressively diminishing budget. He stated that any further decreases in funding for the CA Department, this year, would result in their inability to protect the City as well as they have in the past ... that is; decreased funding would result in increased costs from under defended litigations.
- d. Mr. Trutanich spoke of the legislation that permits the existence of **Medical Marijuana Collective locations** (MMCs) since 2007. He said that current law doesn’t impair LAPD or CA’s ability to enforce law. He believes that the State law needs review/revision to assure that MMCs serve the people in need (“patients”) and that they remain “not close to schools and to each other.” It is still illegal to sell Marijuana for profit.
- e. Regarding “**Billboard Blight**,” the CAs office is going after the “illegal ones.” There have been major discussions and a Court of Appeals hearing: “Summit Media LLC vs. CBS Clear Channel.” An agreement between Clear Channel and the City is in process.
- f. The recent NC Elections raised concern in the CA office over which NC Stakeholders be able to vote in specific elections. The CA believes that, when they go to vote and are considered to be a “**Factual-based Stakeholder**,” they should have to demonstrate an ongoing relationship to the neighborhood and have more than a just receipt from a local coffee/commercial vendor. There is a City Council Ordinance (CF # 12-1682, re: “Factual” ...sic... Based Stakeholders) requesting the CA and BONC to reconsider the definition of the Factual-Based Stakeholder.
- g. There is a Valet Parking Ordinance, in process, which would require an LAPD permit, use parking lots when available and use meters or other methods to report their earnings.
- h. The CA’s Reserve CA Program is one way that the CA has added “bodies” to help them. In this program 40-50 recently graduated and qualified lawyers involved in a 6 month, 8 – 5 hour workdays of experiences involving preparing for and arguing cases in court. They are unpaid volunteers (“students”). They may participate in 10-15 trials. They have an 82% “success” rate

to decrease or eliminate claims against the City and an overall conviction rate of over 90% in criminal cases. They save \$ 2 – 3 million/year. Many go on to become DAs & CAs all over the state & country.

- i. The CA has taken actions vs. several bank's (Deutchebank and US Bank) methods to handle or neglect to manage their foreclosed properties. Neglecting properties injures the neighborhood's "appearance" and can lower all the neighborhood's property values.
- j. The CA successfully litigated and received a judgment for a large fine from Crimson Oil for a spill in San Pedro and a \$ 15 million judgment against a large retailer for dumping "trash."
- k. **Sid Gold** asked for clarification of the sidewalk ordinance. There was a recent election campaign issue asking the City to assume responsibility for the sidewalks and discussion of the property owner's responsibilities for the sidewalk in front of their property. This matter is under consideration by the City Attorney's Office.
- l. **Amie Brothers and Jane Usher, in the CA's Office**, are in the process of writing an ordinance regarding the operation of Board & Care facilities. This would modify the "**Community Care Facility Ordinance** (CF #11-0262) in place, already. The issue is expected to be a "hot" one in the Mayor's Office and the City Council.
- m. **Jack Humphreville** asked if the CA's office had considered the uses of the 7.75% Rate of Return on our Pension Plan. He compared that to the "real" return on our City's Pension Assets (actual is <2 %, now). Jack thinks that its application to calculate the City's Pension Plan Obligation may be "a fraud." Mr. Trutanich expressed an understanding of the concept but said that the City can not sue its own agencies.
- n. **Daniel Wiseman** spoke of the City Attorney's complex obligations to be
  - the prosecutor of "minor" crimes (misdemeanors),
  - the plaintiff attorney in actions (described, above),
  - the legal defender of City-directed claims and
  - the single legal counsel to its many "clients,"
    - = the Mayor,
    - = the City Council,
    - = the 35 Departments and
    - = the 95 Neighborhood Councils.Dr. Wiseman asked if it would serve to sequester specific groups of attorneys for each "client" so as to avoid the "appearance of Conflict of Interest ... or impropriety." This would require a complex reorganization of the already financially-challenged CA department.
- o. **Joanne Yvanek-Garb**, citing the successful recent NC Elections, asked if the CA would support repeating the process and not going so don't go back to City Clerk involvement in NC Elections. Jane Usher agreed that we had good Elections.
- p. **Dede Audet** thanked the CA for producing its **CA NEWSLETTER & WEEKLY ALERT**. She said it gives us "feedback" to take to our NCs.

7. **SPECIAL GUEST: TEDDY DAVIS, Esq.**

Mayor's Press Secretary

email: [teddy.davis@lacity.org](mailto:teddy.davis@lacity.org)

tel: 1-213-978-0576

Mr. Davis said that the Mayor had written a letter to City Council stating that he wanted to see a "new set of reforms, first, " then he would speak on taking the issue to the March ballot.

The Mayor's Accomplishments include:

- He decreased total Budget Expenses by decreasing the numbers of Employees from 35,000 to about 31,000. There may be 209 – 260 more to eliminate on January 1, 2013 or July 1, 2013.
  - He advocated the 30/10 Proposal which sought advancing funds from Federal and State Transportation Bond money to build 30 years of projects in 10 years. The Mayor is proud of our City's new Red-Green-Blue-Gold-Orange Lines and want to see them extended (Green) to the LAX, to the Beach (Wilshire-Red), to Pomona (Gold) and to Chatsworth (Orange). Measure "J" is still a little under the two-thirds (2/3) majority necessary to extend funding for the City's transportation projects.
  - He continues to advocate public-private partnerships for the Zoo and Convention Center.
  - He has worked to make the City more friendly to business.
- a. **Jack Humphreville** commented that the recent Pension Reforms, supported by the Mayor will produce \$ 15 million in savings, won't begin to produce savings for 5-10 years and are a drop in the bucket compared to the \$ 20+ billion obligations the City faces.
  - b. **Daniel Wiseman** spoke of the Mayor's policies and current practices to propose and implement our City's Budget. He acknowledged and accepted that the Mayor gave first priority to maintaining LAPD sworn officers (currently 9,963 positions). He said that employee costs, including Pension obligations, are responsible for the relentless increases in total expenses and the repeated, annual \$ 250 million deficits which have been part of every one of the Mayor's Budget Proposals. Thus, our salary-based budget is "programmed" to create these deficits. Dr. Wiseman hopes that employing Performance-Based Budgeting concepts can help us plan and produce a more sustainable financial situation for our City.
  - c. **Lydia Grant** expressed her frustration caused by reports, public statements and news composed of "political spins" which don't include enough facts.
  - d. **Caroline Aguirre** said she felt insulted by the fictitious data being reported as crime rates. She believes that the LAPD ComStat data is distorted.
  - e. **Mary Prange** asked if there are enough funds available to repair and replace our City's infrastructure?
  - f. **Sid Gold** asked how we can continue to have negotiations between Management & Labor at DWP without involving the City Council.

- g. **Jay Handal** said that he suspects that LAPD statistics are manipulated by Division Captains to downplay the numbers and make the LAPD look better than it is.

He said that our unrealistic “budgeting” processes are characterized by “electeds” are driven to satisfy their campaign contributors (and insure their re-election) rather than the needs of the people. He quoted former State Legislator and Controller, Jesse Unruh, who said that “Money is the mother’s milk of politics.”

Mr. Handal pointed to a series of recommendations, the White Papers, of the NC Budget Advocates which have not been recognized, considered or implemented.

- h. **Leonard Shaffer** pointed to how the rise in Solid Waste (trash) Fees was characterized (“spun”) as a source of new funds for the LAPD and how the new Sales Tax Increase is being spoken of as a “tax for Public Safety” when both are to increase the City’s General Fund and, therefore, are used for all of the City’s expenses/.

-----  
short break  
-----

8. **SPECIAL GUEST: GRAYCE LIU (Interim GM / DONE = EmpowerLA)**

- a. The first DONE Webinar – a mixed direct participation (of 7 people) and internet participation (or 7 people) – focusing on **DONE’s FY2013-2014 Budget Proposal**: DONE can not agree to the Mayor’s request for a 10% cut without decreasing or discontinuing mandated NC service activities. DONE needs an additional \$ 250,000 to operate its NC Funds Management program. The participants favored requesting no decrease in funding and an increase in City support.

After due discussion there was a **MOTION** (Speigel/ ): that **LANCC should create a “new” election task force (opened for everyone to participate) to explore the best approach to funding and administering the next set of election with a report to be made by February 28, 2013.** The **MOTION PASSED: Ayes: 18, Nays 3**

- b. It seems as if the **NC’s FY2013-2014 ANNUAL ALLOCATIONS** will be decreased by 10% (removing \$ 3,700) from the current \$ 37,000 to \$ 33,300 per NC per year. No other group has been decreased by one-third of their FY2008-2009 budget when NCs received \$ 50,000/year.
- c. DONE is working hard to get NC bills paid (charge card, warrants, petty cash, etc.). Grayce took a “course” to learn how to do Warrant Request Payments. She found it very complex.
- d. Treasurer Training Opportunities are scheduled. It is important for NCs to assign “second signatories” for their financial transaction and they must have “Treasurer qualifications.”
- e. Ethics Training sessions are scheduled for Dec. 12<sup>th</sup> and other days. All NC Board Members must “pass this course” every two years. All newly elected NC Board Members should take it, soon.
- f. Grayce acknowledged that the recent and future elections and many other issues are driving the need for NC ByLaws revisions. However, the DONE staff is not up to promptly processing these NC actions.

## 9. TOPIC – REPORT on the RECENT NC ELECTIONS – Stephen Box

- a. DONE's support of the NC Elections was supervised by DONE staff led by (NC Stakeholder) **Stephen Box** and a team of (mostly NC Stakeholder) Independent Elections Administrators (IEAs). Grayce went to every election.

DONE was provided \$ 600,000 for outreach and other activities this year (compared to \$ 1.2 million for the City Clerk in 2010). Stephen Box is preparing a final report on this experience by it looks like these elections elicited more voters, more "new" board members and less complaints and challenges and grievances.

It remains for the City Council to decide whether DONE or the City Clerk administers the next NC Elections (Spring 2013). If the City Council does not act, the City Clerk will again be responsible for this activity. There are no funds allocated for the Spring 2013 elections, yet.

- b. After due discussion there was a **MOTION** (Speigel/ ): that **LANCC should create a "new" election task force (opened for everyone to participate) to explore the best approach to funding and administering the next set of election with a report to be made by February 28, 2013.** The **MOTION PASSED**: Ayes: 18, Naves 3

## 10. TOPIC – REPORT on the RECENT NC BUDGET ADVOCATE activity

- a. **Joseph Hari (DONE)** started by saying he will be this year's connection between the NCBAs and the "City"; this time in his position at DONE.
- DONE worked with the NCBAs and made the Mayor's Community Budget Day (Nov. 17<sup>th</sup>) more successful. Over 200 people attended. The City's Budget Deficit was reviewed by NC Stakeholders from all over the City.
  - 36 new Budget Advocates were elected. 24 of them were new to the Budget Process and 12 were elected to "second" terms.
  - the new Budget Advocates will work with almost 200 NC Budget Representatives in a "network" in which there will be enhanced two-way communication. Mr. Hari spoke of having a Budget Advocate Report at every monthly NC Board Meeting
  - regular communications will appear in EmpowerLA Newsletters
- b. **Cindy Cleghorn** said that there is a "general perception" that the Mayor's Survey "makes no difference" ... How can you change the perception?

ANSWER by **Jay Handal**, NCBA Co-Chair: The experience of the last two years told us to increase outreach. This year we have increased the areas to 12 and have 3 NCBAs per area; that means more NCBAs and each has fewer NCs to serve. Recent NCBA achievements include the White Papers, the first ever direct testimony by NCBAs to the City Council and advocacy and initiation of the CAO's Inspector General.

c. Informational interaction with the participants continued.

11. **TOPIC: ACTION ITEMS**

- a. **ACTION ITEM** - LANCC supports the BONC motion to continue the current level of DONE funding (As submitted by GM Grayce Liu) ...AYES 14, No 0 (for #1 AND #2)
- b. **ACTION ITEM** – to support re-establishing the ANNUAL NC ALOCATION to \$ 50,000.
- c. **ACTION ITEM** – regarding LANCC advocacy for authorized NC representatives to be able to present their NC’s position, directly, to City Council.

This concept, discussed here before and at the recent BONC meeting, is an attempt to increase NC ability to advise the City (Council and Mayor) on issues of importance to the NCs.

**Daniel Wiseman** recommended that the Chair appoint a task force to bring recommendations to LANCC as to how to accomplish this.

**Noel Weiss** recommended that we “follow the Charter” Article IX, Section 908 which allows the City Council to authorize Public Hearings conducted by the NCs.

**Glenn Bailey** said he thinks DONE desires our more immediate support for their budget and other activities.

- d. CONCLUSION: Items 11b and 11c were referred back to the Officers & Others Meetings.

12. **TOPIC: Annual Election of LANCC Officers will be held at the January 5, 2013 Meeting ...administered by Cindy (without objection)**

- a. Qualifications for candidates will be described.
- b. Deadline to submit your name will be announced.

13. **LANCC Secretary, Daniel Wiseman**, prepared and distributed, the following document in response to a discussion at a previous meeting. It was received, today, without comment, for lack of time.



**Los Angeles Neighborhood Council Coalition**

**President: Bob Gelfand**  
**Vice President: Leonard Shaffer**  
**Secretary: Daniel Wiseman**  
**Treasurer: Glenn Bailey**

**LANCC ACTIONS & MOTIONS for 2012**

(prepared by Daniel Wiseman, Secretary on Dec. 1, 2012)

This is a listing of ACTIVITIES, ACTIONS, MOTIONS and POLICIES of LANCC in calendar 2012. It is intended to memorialize our accomplishments and our progress as well as to help plan our future.

1. **JANUARY 2012** – minutes missing. **Can YOU provide a copy?**
2. **FEBRUARY 2012** – minutes missing. **Can YOU provide a copy?**
3. **MARCH 2012** **(The YEAR's MOST WIDE-RANGING, COMPREHENSIVE MEETING)**

**a. SUBJECTS AND ACTIVITIES COVERED**

- (1) – We established the position of LANCC Treasurer, elected **Glenn Bailey**, and charged him with the responsibility of coordinating outreach ... involving member lists, web-site concerns, our 501c(3), Social Networking and other communications activities.
- (2) – We “re-elected” **Daniel Wiseman** as LANCC Secretary
- (3) – We reviewed and deliberated on the four NC-Directed Motions of Council Member Paul Krekorian (the outgoing E&N Chair)
  - = **CF #11-1017** for an **IMPROVED (NC Stakeholder) “TRAINING” PROGRAM**
  - = **CF #11-1018** for an **MANAGING Stakeholder COMPLAINTS & GRIEVANCES**
  - = **CF #11-1019** for an **RESTRUCTURING NC GOVERNANCE into 7 NC REGIONS**
  - = **CF #11-1017** for an **MATTERS related to DONE's SUPERVISION of NC FUNDING**
- (4) – We considered the time-table and other changes related to the City Clerk involvement in the 2012 NC Elections
- (5) - We concluded that NC Stakeholders have assumed more than their Charter-mandated

role as “advisors to the Mayor and Council” but were morphing into the “advocates” for better City Governance. This increased activity is clearly found in the work of the NCBAs (Neighborhood Council Budget Advocates) who now present, formally, to the Mayor and to the City Council, as well.

- (6) – NC Stakeholders across the City participating in regional meetings with the “new” Chair of the E&N Committee (Councilman **Bernard Parks**). We revisited of the above areas of concern and:

= **The DEFINITION of a NC Stakeholder**  
= **The Ralph M. Brown Act and its effects on the NCs.**

(Note: Mr. Krekorian has been and Mr. Parks will be a Special Guest, next month.)

- (7) – **City Council Member (CD 9) Jan Perry** was our guest speaker and the recent Council Redistricting was the central topic. (See **ACTION**, below.) We deliberated the possibility of a referendum on the Redistricting issue.

- b. **ACTION**: In response to the recent **Recommendations of the Redistricting Commission**, this Motion was to be sent, forthwith, to all of the LANCC participants and submitted to accompany Council File #11-0187-S3. LANCC suggested that the 95 NCs consider adopting the language of this MOTION and sending a Community Impact Statement of their position.

Whereas, the current redistricting map was created in a manner that does not conform to law and does not serve the interest of the public, LANCC opposes the current map

FOLLOW-UP: **City Council overwhelmingly approved and implemented the Commission’s recommendations.**

#### 4. **APRIL 2012**

- a. **ACTION**: (Originally conceived by Jack Humphreville and developed in the DWP Advocacy Committee ...and... after the elections which enabled the “Office of Public Accountability”)

**LANCC calls on the Mayor and CC to create a truly INDEPENDENT (DWP) Ratepayer’s Advocate. Further, the NCs should have a central role in the selection of the IRPA.**

FOLLOW-UP: **The Office of Public Accountability was established in May 2012. It includes the Ratepayer’s Advocate. Frederick H. Pickel, PhD., was appointed. Dr. Pickel was a Special Guest Speaker at our September 2012 Meeting.**

- b. **ACTION**: received from this month’s DWP MOU Oversight Committee

**The NC representatives of the NC-DWP MOU Oversight Committee and LANCC object to the inclusion of a Renewable Portfolio Standard / Energy Efficiency (RPS/EE) Trust Fund in the DWP-proposed increase in the ECAF.**

FOLLOW-UP: **No direct response received from DWP**

- c. **ACTION**: received from this month's DWP MOU Oversight Committee

The NC representatives of the NC-DWP MOU Oversight Committee and LANCC, present at this month's meeting, move to **"unbundle" the Renewable Portfolio Standard / Energy Efficiency (RPS/EE), Demand Side Management (DSM) and Energy Efficiency (EE) expenses, the ECAF component of the utility's power transfer to the City General Fund and any RPS/EE Trust Fund expenses from the DWP's rate increase request** in order to assure that ECAF income is used to pay for the short-term variations in the costs of energy.

FOLLOW-UP: a **No direct response received from DWP**

- d. **ACTION**: in response to the "news" that, for the first time in NC history, NCs would not be permitted to "roll-over" unused allocated funds into the next fiscal year...

**LANCC urges the Education & Neighborhoods Committee to (propose measures to) remove the current "freeze" and to release all currently existing NC rollovers back to the NCs.**

FOLLOW-UP: **No direct response received from E&N**

- e. **DISCUSSION ITEM**: in response to City Council proposals to merge DONE into the Community Development Department (CDD). There was widespread and vehement opposition to this ... but no specific motion taken.

5. **MAY 2012** – no specific ACTIONS or MOTIONS taken

6. **JUNE 2012**

- a. **ACTION**: Restatement of the **RESPONSE MOTION** (Handal/Seigel) to the request for NC responses on "important issues." (**CF #10-1797-S7 – Parks/Perry**)

- (1) All "important" issues should be sent to the NCs 60 days prior to their first hearing so that the NCs may have time to reach out to their stakeholders and take a position.
- (2) DONE shall compile and regularly update a contact list of all NC Board members.
- (3) The City Council and all of its Committees shall allow a five minute Public Comment period to all speakers that are officially representing their NCs.
- (4) All Council District offices shall meet regularly with their NCs and work with them to develop plans allowing for greater NC input in the decision making process.
- (5) The E&N Committee shall look into the funding of DONE pertaining to the capacity to fulfill its mission. An additional DONE staff position shall be funded with part of its job description being to track all impending legislation and

department hearings and to notify the NC system in a timely manner.

- (6) **NCs should be allowed to request a postponement of all upcoming legislation so that they may properly notify their stakeholders and have time to meet and take a position.**

FOLLOW-UP: The full motion was sent, forthwith, to Mr. Parks (Chairman, E&N Committee) and “noticed” widely in the distribution of our Minutes. **No response as of November 31<sup>st</sup>.**

b. **POLICY or PROCEDURE Re: REPRESENTATION**

LANCC tries to gather and refer information necessary for NC Actions to the NCs but LANCC does not speak for specific NCs.

Therefore: LANCC wants to have representatives from all 95 NCs.  
LANCC Representatives are responsible for communicating issues to their NCs.  
It is not necessary for a NC Representative to be a NC Board Member.  
Only one LANCC Representative from any single NC may vote on any single issue  
LANCC assumes that these Representatives act in the best interest of their NC  
(with or without specific approval of their NC)

c. **POLICY or PROCEDURE Re: RAPID RESPONSE**

LANCC wants to be able to respond quickly and decisively to issues that arise suddenly or which have short (less than 30-60 day) timetables. On receiving and approving documentation of an appropriate issue (from any NC Stakeholder or other sources), the **LANCC officers will prepare a position paper and send it** to all LANCC Representatives for their immediate responses.

d. **POLICY or PROCEDURE Re: VOTING by LANCC Participants**

The LANCC Representatives are expected to “vote their conscience” and/or “vote in the best interest of their NCs” and give a response to the LANCC Officers as soon as possible. As always, if there is time and opportunity, the LANCC Representatives may submit the issue for deliberation and action by their NC.

7. **JULY 2012**

a. **ACTION: RESTORATION of the VAN NUYS & SAN PEDRO PUBLIC COMMENT FACILITIES**

**LANCC requests the immediate restoration of the Remote City Council Public Comment facilities in Van Nuys and San Pedro Municipal Buildings. (Wilkinson/Handal)**

FOLLOW-UP: The Motion was sent, forthwith, to the City Council and distributed to the NCs in our Minutes. **No response from City Council to date, Nov. 30<sup>th</sup>.**

8. **AUGUST 2012** – no specific ACTIONS or MOTIONS taken

9. **SEPTEMBER 2012**

- a. **ACTION**: Pursuant to a MOTION at the DWP Advocacy Meeting the following MOTION (Humphreville/Ray) related to the “other half” (See ACTION of June 2012) of **CF #10-1797-S7 – Parks/Perry** was passed: **LANCC endorses the concept of non-exclusive Waste Hauler Franchises for our non-single family residences and commercial properties in the 11 specified Waste Shed Areas and other concerns as described in the L.A. Times Editorial of August 29, 2012.**

FOLLOW-UP: City Council passed motions to support exclusive Waste Hauler Franchises.

- b. **ACTION**: (Wiseman/ ???) Those NC Stakeholders present at the Sept. 8, 2012 LANC COALITION meeting recommend that the Mayor appoint Grace Liu as Permanent General Manager of DONE.

FOLLOW-UP: **As of November 30<sup>th</sup>, Grayce is STILL “Interim” GM.**

10. **OCTOBER 2012** – no specific ACTIONS or MOTIONS taken

11. **NOVEMBER 2012**

- a. **ACTION**: **PROPOSAL “C”**: LANCC requests that the City Council invoke Article IX, Section 908 of the city Charter and empower neighborhood councils to hold hearings regarding the proposal to create exclusive waste hauler franchises in the city of Los Angeles. Article IX (the article which establishes DONE and specifies the activities of the NCs) states in Section 908 that “Subject to applicable law, the City Council may delegate its authority to neighborhood councils to hold public hearings prior to the City Council making a decision on a matter of local concern

FOLLOW-UP:

12. **DECEMBER 2012** – minutes pending

---

---

14. **ADJOURNMENT at 1:25 p.m.**

- a. NEXT MEETING: January 5, 2013 @ 10:00 a.m.  
b. LOCATION: to be announced