

## LANCC Meeting Recap – September 5, 2015

1. Discussion and possible action of Online Voting and Community Interest Stakeholder Definition Changes and in regards to Council File number 15-1022.
  - a. LANCC requests that the stakeholder definition not be changed until after the 2016 election cycle. 17-2-0
2. Discussion and possible action to support the bid for Los Angeles to host the 2024 Summer Olympic Games with cost caps and possible Charter Amendment CF 15-0989.
  - a. Jack Humphreville noted that the amended agreement between the City, LA24 ([www.la24.org](http://www.la24.org)), and the US Olympic Committee allows the City Council to approve the final deal with the USOC and International Olympic Committee. “The USOC acknowledges that, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, the Host City Contract and Joint Marketing Programme Agreement will be subject to approval by the City Council prior to the City’s submission of its Candidature File to the IOC.” He outlined at least seven requirements that are needed in order for the Los Angeles bid for the Olympic Games to succeed financially:
    - i. The City needs the County and the State to be participants in the financial guarantee. This will not only spread the risk, but provide scrutiny of LA24’s revenue and cost projections.
    - ii. The City and LA24 need to hire an experienced and well financed operator to manage the Olympics and absorb a portion of the risk. One alternative would be AEG, the owner of Staples and Stub Hub and the manager of numerous venues around the world. This will also provide additional scrutiny of LA24’s projections.
    - iii. There needs to be an agreement with the unions not to strike construction sites or Olympic operations.
    - iv. There needs to be an understanding regarding security, its cost, and who will pay for it. This may involve the federal government.
    - v. We need to determine who will pay for the \$500 million in Coliseum renovations outlined in the LA24 presentation.
    - vi. We need to determine who will pay for the Olympic Village (\$1 billion) as well as its location. Union Pacific’s Piggyback Yard may not be a suitable site because of the adverse impact on the Port and the Alameda Corridor.
    - vii. City Hall must not meddle in the operations and decision making process, which, according to Mayor Bradley, was the key to the success of the 1984 Olympics. The report by the City Administrative Officer and the Chief Legislative Analyst indicated that there are many issues that need to be closely monitored and resolved before the City indemnifies the IOC and USOC against any financial loss.

Note: For more information on the agreement, see Council File 15-0989, Motion 20B.  
<http://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cfnumber=15-0989Management>
3. Discussion and possible action for a motion to City Council to create a Civic Openness in Negotiations Ordinance (COIN) for labor contracts to be fair and transparent. CF15-0389 CF 15-0524

- a. The xxxx Neighborhood Council moves to request that the City Council creates a Civic Openness in Negotiations Ordinance (COIN) for all labor contracts to be fair and transparent for the people of Los Angeles. 18-0-0